Substituent Effects on the Photosolvolyses of 2-Chloropropiophenones in 2,2,2-Trifluoroethaol

Satoshi USUI, Jyunji NISHIMOTO,<sup>†</sup> and Katsutoshi OHKUBO \*<sup>††</sup>
Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444

†Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kumamoto University, Kurokami, Kumamoto 860 ††Institute for Fundamental Organic Chemistry, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812

The substituent effects on the product distributions of the photosolvolyses of 2-chloropropiophenones in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol were investigated. A  $\pi$ -electron-donating methoxy substituent at p- and m-position enhanced the heterolytic product of the nucleophilic substitution over that of phenyl-rearrangement.

2-Halopropiophenones are known to undergo photochemical loss of halogen atoms involving carbocationic as well as radical species.  $^{1)}$  Although the carbocationic reactions including phenyl-rearrangement are of great interest on a synthetic viewpoint, the reaction mechanisms are not well understood. In this communication, we describe novel substituent effects on the photosolvolyses of 2-chloropropiophenones  $\underline{1}$  in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE).

A series of substituted 2-chloropropiophenones was obtained by Friedel-Crafts reactions of substituted benzenes or couplings of aryl magnesium bromides with 2-chloropropionyl chloride. Sample solutions were prepared by dissolving  $0.2~\mu dm^3$  of 2-chloropropiophenones into  $0.75~m dm^3$  of TFE-d $_3$  containing  $1~\mu dm^3$  of 2,6-lutidine. Each sample solution was placed in an NMR tube and was irradiated with a 500 W Xe lamp (USHIO XB-50101AA-A) through a pyrex filter at 20 °C. Photolysis products were analyzed by using an HPLC (JASCO PU-980, UV-970) and a  $^1H$ -NMR (JEOL EX-270). $^2$ )

The HPLC and  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR analyses revealed that the photosolvolysis of  $\underline{1}$  in TFE-d<sub>3</sub> afforded five products without the thermal solvolysis of  $\underline{1}$  as described in Scheme 1. The photosolvolysis products were classified into two types; one is C-Cl bond heterolysis products ( $\underline{2} - \underline{3}$ ) and the other is bond homolysis ones ( $\underline{5}$  and  $\underline{6}$ ). The elimination product ( $\underline{4}$ ), can possibly be generated through carbocationic or radical intermediates. ( $\underline{3}$ ) According to the product distributions in Table 1, the photosolvolyses of all substituted derivatives showed excellent material balances of over 95%, and the photosolvolyses products were mainly C-Cl bond heterolysis ones ( $\underline{2} - \underline{3}$ ); the *m*-OMe and *m*-Me derivatives resulted in the considerable amount of the C-Cl bond homolysis products ( $\underline{5}$  and  $\underline{6}$ ). Anyway, it should be emphasized here that the present photosolvolysis of the substituted  $\underline{1}$  in a highly polar solvent of TFE afforded the considerably larger amounts of heterolysis products than those obtained for the

Table 1.	Substituent Dependencies of the Product Distributions (%)					
	of the Photosolvolysis <u>1</u> in TFE-d <sub>2</sub> <sup>a)</sup>					

Substituent	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u> and <u>6</u>	<u>3/2</u>
<i>p</i> -OMe	66.4	14.0	12.9	5.2	1.0	0.92
p-OMe-m-Cl	57.2	12.4	16.9	12.1	1.4	1.4
<i>p</i> -OMe- <i>m</i> -Br	39.7	16.2	20.3	20.9	2.4	1.3
<i>p</i> -OMe- <i>m</i> -CN	62.9	12.7	11.4	10.6	0.2	0.90
<i>m</i> -OMe <sup>b)</sup>	30.0	1.8	21.3	11.9	29.8	12
<i>p</i> -Me	67.3	22.6	7.3	2.8	0.0	0.32
<i>m</i> -Me	49.7	26.8	5.8	5.0	11.1	0.22
Н	54.7	36.1	3.3	4.2	1.7	0.091
<i>p</i> -Cl	45.6	42.1	5.8	6.5	0.0	0.14
<i>m</i> -C1	45.1	49.6	3.6	4.1	2.0	0.073
m-CN	51.2	27.6	1.8	12.7	3.3	0.065
<i>m</i> -CF <sub>3</sub>	49.1	35.2	0.0	10.9	2.2	0.0

a) After 1 h irradiation, unless otherwise noted. b) After 0.5 h irradiation.

previous photosolvolyses of  $\alpha$ -chloroketones. 1,4)

Among the heterolysis products ( $\underline{2}$ , and  $\underline{3}$ ), the product ratio between a phenyl-rearrangement product and a nucleophilic substitution one ( $\underline{3}/\underline{2}$ ) apparently suffered from the substituent effect of  $\underline{1}$ . The increase in the electrondonating ability of the substituent resulted in the increase in the  $\underline{3}/\underline{2}$  ratio, that is contrary to the substituent effects observed for the thermal acetolysis of 3-aryl-2-butyl brosylate.  $\underline{5}$ )

It is also apparent from Table 1 that strong  $\pi$ -donating methoxy substituents facilitated the nucleophilic substitution pathway. The methoxy substituent at the p-position resulted in the almost same amount of  $\underline{2}$  and  $\underline{3}$ , and notably,

the introduction of electron withdrawing halogen atoms at the *m*-position into the parent *p*-OMe derivative appreciably exalted the 3/2 ratio. The predominance of the nucleophilic substitution by the  $\pi$ -donating substituents became drastic in the case of the *m*-OMe derivative; this novel substituent effect may be reflected to the *meta* electron transmission effect.<sup>6</sup>

Thus, the characteristic substituent effects on the photosolvolysis of  $\underline{1}$  were demonstrated by the fact that the  $\pi$ -donating substituent exalted the nucleophilic substitution pathway over phenyl-rearrangement one in the TFE solvent. At present, the origin of the novel effect of m-OMe substituent is not fully understood, and further investigations are now in progress.

## References

- Y. Izawa, M. Takeuchi, Y. Watoh, and H. Tomioka, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi, 1984, 98; W. R. Bergmark,
   C. Barnes, J. Clark, S. Paparian, and Susan Marynowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 50, 5612 (1985); H. R. Sonawane, D. G. Kulkarni, and N. R. Ayyangar, Tetrahedron Lett., 31, 7495 (1990).
- 2) Photolysis products were identified by the comparison of HPLC and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra with those of commercially available or synthesized authentic samples. The product distributions were determined by the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signal intensities of -CH-CH<sub>3</sub> (1, 2, or 3), -CH=CH<sub>2</sub> (4), -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub> (5), and -CHD-CH<sub>3</sub> (6).
- 3) P. J. Wagner and M. J. Lindstrom, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 3068 (1987).
- 4) P. C. Purohit and H. R. Sonowane, *Tetrahedron*, 37, 873 (1981); S. J. Cristol and T. H. Bindel, *Org. Photochem.*, 6, 327 (1983).
- 5) H. C. Brown, C. J. Kim, C. J. Lancelot, and P. v. R. Schelyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 5244 (1970).
- 6) H. E. Zimmerman and V. R. Sandel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 915 (1963).

(Received August 2, 1994)